

UCF ADMINISTRATIVE UNIT PERFORMANCE REPORT

Division: Administration and Finance

Unit: Facilities Planning

FY: 1996-97

Unit Mission	Performance Indicators	Performance Measurements	Measurement Results	Results Utilization Plan
<p>Facilities Planning strives to provide the best overall facilities delivery system within the State University System by providing services in support of the educational, research, and service mission of the University. Our major areas of responsibility include: architectural programming, design development and management, construction management and master planning. Our continuing goal is to develop and maintain a methodology which ensures an efficient, cost effective, professional department that is on time and within budget in the overall administration of projects.</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Generate building programs which directly assist in the development of highly functional buildings that address the needs of the University. 2. Efficiently manage the design and construction of buildings which are aesthetically pleasing, functional, and easy to maintain. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Survey of campus clients regarding their satisfaction with facilities development. 2a. Survey of campus clients regarding their satisfaction with their impact on campus facilities design. 2b. Track adherence of projects to construction timetables and deadlines. 2c. Track adherence of projects to budget contracts and/or forecasts. 	<p>A&F survey distributed August 1996. 26.7% of those responding agreed that their input is adequately considered in the design process for new buildings. Another 30.7% disagreed with the statement.</p> <p>A&F survey distributed August 1996. 23.8% of those responding agreed that they have the opportunity to provide input and influence the design of campus facilities. Another 28.7% disagreed.</p> <p>Fiscal year 1996-97.</p> <p>Fiscal year 1996-97.</p>	<p>Results will be analyzed by all Facilities Planning staff members and a plan of action developed to adequately include building user input in the design process for new buildings.</p> <p>Results will be analyzed, and a plan of action developed to better include campus clients in the campus facilities design process.</p> <p>If adherence to construction timetables and deadlines is not met, the process will be evaluated to determine how to best meet these deadlines for future projects.</p> <p>If adherence to budget contracts and/or forecasts is not met, the process will be evaluated to determine how to best meet these items during future projects.</p>
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 3. Produce a more coherent and effective master plan that is responsive to the expansion of the University. 		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 3. Survey of campus clients concerning their level of satisfaction with the extent to which their input was included in the design of the campus Master Plan. 	<p>A&F survey distributed August 1996. 24.8% of those responding agreed that the campus Master Plan reflects input received from campus constituents during its design, while another 24.8% disagreed.</p>	<p>Results will be analyzed, and a plan of action developed by the department to include more campus constituents' input in the design of the Master Plan.</p>